

EFFECT OF EDUCATIONAL PROJECT ON LEARNERS
LEARNING OUTCOME IN TWELVE YEARS BASIC
EDUCATION SCHOOLS: A CASE OF SCHOOL
FEEDING PROGRAM AS IMPLEMENTED IN RUBAVU
DISTRICT, RWANDA

Uwababyeyi Doris

Scholar, University of Kigali

Munyakazi Isaac

Lecturer, University of Kigali

ISSN 2277-7733

Volume 12 Issue 3,

December 2023

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to help students, parents, secondary teachers, school's kitchen managers and Head teachers to master the methods and their role in encouraging learners to take lunch at school; especially improve student's learning outcomes in twelve years' basic education. The objectives are to assess the influence of food ratios and the timing of the meals on learning outcomes in Twelve Years basic education schools of Rubavu district, to assess the influence of food quality on learning outcomes in twelve years basic education schools of Rubavu district and. The study employed a descriptive research design Questionnaires to enable the researcher to bring out the details of the exact situation on the ground. This study was being done at 4 twelve years basic education located in the Rubavu district targeting 2112 students. Therefore, 336 respondents were the target population of this study and the composition of the participants. To validate and ensure reliability of the questionnaire, a test retest was conducted in a space of two weeks between the test's correlation coefficient using SPSS version 22.0 (V. 22.0) was established and after which necessary adjustments on the content and its reliability was ensured before the main study. In this way, sampling technique was a simple purposive sampling technique was employed in this study, where every participant was given an equal and independent chance to participate. Recommendations of the study were: Government has to mobilize more stakeholders or non-government organization to orient their funds in the implementation of school feeding program, Parents must participate in school feeding program rather than thinking that this program should only be sponsored by government, Government has to develop continuous professional development that are related to the effective implementation of school feeding program and Government should make monitoring and evaluation of school feeding program because some of the school do not put more effort in its implementation.

Keywords: *learning outcomes, school feeding program, Twelve Years Basic of Education and quality of education*

Internationally, the aspiration of all countries in the United Nations is to address the difficulties coming about because of propelling globalization brought about the detailing of the MDGs in the year 2000. In the plan of the MDGs consideration was paid to appetite and neediness as expressed in MDG number1: Eradicate Extreme yearning and destitution. The sub objective figured thusly is: continuously 2015 the extent of individuals who experience the ill effects of craving is split when contrasted with 1990 (UNESCO, 2005) School encouraging projects constitute basic mediations

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

that were presented in various created and creating nations of the world to address the issues of neediness, to fortify school enrolment and improve understudies' execution. (Akanbi, 2013) As right on time as the 1930s, the United States (USA) and the United Kingdom (UK) used FFE to enhance youngsters' wellbeing (Gokah, 2008); these early projects appeared as school bolstering programs (SFP), where members were encouraged a supper or a nibble at school.

Then, MINEDUC- funded program that subsidizes meals cooked at secondary schools, hereafter referred to as the Secondary School Feeding Program. The third program is implemented by WFP, providing food a cooked lunch to primary and lower secondary school children, in insecure Districts. The ration is hot meal consisting of beans, fortified maize, vegetables, oil and salt. The free programs combined respond to 10% of all students, nursery school, primary and secondary schools in Rwanda (ESSP 2013/14 – 2017/18). School feeding programs are an effective mechanism for addressing child nutrition issues, increasing educational enrolment, retention and performance (Dr. Ministry of education, 2019). This research specifically addressed the effect of school feeding program on learning outcome. This means the school completion rate depends on school feeding.

Hypothesis

There is no significant influence of food ratios and the timing of the meals on learning outcomes in Twelve Years basic education schools of Rubavu district and There is no significant influence of food quality on learning outcomes in Twelve Years basic education schools of Rubavu district.

Empirical review

The empirical review means the research that was made in past years about the problem which seems to have the same information. A researcher described them below in detailed about what others have done. Less developed countries its education is rising on good level (Damon, Glewwe, Wisniewski & Sun, 2016). Education enrollment in higher education is expanding quickly while around 67 million children who are at the age of enroll primary school are not able to continue 53 % are girls among those numbers while 43% of them are located in sub Saharan Africa (World Food Programme, 2013).

Food quality and academic performance

Generally, interaction between nutrition and education can be understood in three ways (Ahmed, 2004). Firstly, health statuses and nutrition influence the child's learning and his / her performance in school. That is why poor nutrition among children affects their cognitive function and hence reduces their ability to participate in learning activities at school. Secondly, children who are malnourished or unhealthy are unable to attend school regularly and which in turn leads to poor academic performances. Thirdly, hungry children encounter difficulties concentrating and performing complex tasks than those who are well nourished. So, poor children who

don't get the basic nutritional building blocks from birth will be unable to learn easily. By the time, studies show that these children grow to primary school age, where most damages have occurred to them and in fact such damages are irreversible. Even if school meals are provided after this critical period, their capacity of learning is much less than what would have been if they were properly fed from infancy (WFP).

It has been argued that school meals increase school participation by improving child nutrition through two links (Gokah, 2008). First, school meals enhance nutrition by enabling children to get more nutrients. Second, enhanced nutrition leads to better educational achievements. The study also reveals that child nutrition, child health and child schooling reflect household preferences in human capital investments in the child; they might be correlated without any direct causal relationship between them. Another study shows how school feeding programs can enhance health by reducing morbidity and illness which hence attract children to school (Tomlinson, 2008).

However, there are conflicting arguments as to whether households adjust the feeding practices of school children at home in response to SFPs. (Adelman, 2008) shows there is no reduction of food at home given to children who participate in SFPs in such a way that those children who benefit from SFP should get less at home. Instead, school meals are additional diets intended to what he or she can get from home. To the contrary, there are counter arguments to such claims.

In response to the school meals, families may also adjust resource allocation among children within the household by taking away some resources from beneficiary children and redistributing them to other members of the household (Gilligan, 2008). As a result, those children from whom resources are taken away will be worse off if the food provided at school is not very useful compared to what they would have had at home.

School Feeding Program and School Participation

Having examined the conceptual relationships between school meals and school participation, this section discusses some of the relevant empirical studies. Most of the writing investigated that for this examination uncover SFP for sure positive effect on school support as estimated by school enlistment, class participation, and understudy drop-out-status (Adelman, 2008). Be that as it may, the vast majority of these discoveries depend on exact information acquired from schools where the program was mainstream and has been moderately successfully actualized.

(Tomlinson, 2008) directed a field – think about in Western Kenya preschools in the vicinity of 2000 and 2004 to assess the effects of school sustaining programs on school interest and accomplishment. In this unique situation, preschoolers are characterized as youngsters between ages of 4 and 6 who lived inside a strolling separation of school. They found that youngsters in the treatment amass took an interest 35.9% of the time

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

contrasted with 27.4% in the examination (control) gathering and this distinction was factually noteworthy (2004) The program expanded support of the two kids who were beforehand enlisted (what they call escalated edge) and kids who might have gone to class without the program (broad edge). Since there are solid complementarities between educator attributes and school meal is, they stress that any expansion in school interest without qualified training misses the mark concerning better instructive accomplishment.

Nevertheless, their study was on preschools and hence this may not have much relevance for primary school children. Besides, preschoolers are early-age children and may not have family obligations like many primary school age children might have in poor areas. Thus preschoolers are relatively free of duties that could keep them away from school.

Another study conducted in Jamaica shows that school meals indeed enhance education of beneficiaries (Grantham, 1998)). They found that school execution pointers (enlistment, participation, drop-out, and rate, reiteration of evaluations, school fulfillment levels, psychological capacity, and classroom conduct) have all been enhanced in light of school encouragement. This is on the grounds that the arrangement of school suppers diminishes the guardians' cost of sending youngsters to class in this manner, advancing early enlistment and enhancing participation. The vast majority of time youngsters spend on learning in light of school dinners, the more they will learn and the less they rehash school or drop – out.

Opposite to different examinations, they are basic to class dinners and they question on the off chance that they have any positive effect on school support whatsoever. For example world food program helped the school encouraging system (what he calls the standard program) and found that it doesn't expand enlistment at any level contrasted with control schools (on the same page).

The following subsections are some of the literature in relation to the three aspects of school participation (school enrollment, class attendance and student drop-out) that will be discussed.

Methodology

The researcher used correlation research design method. This comprises using questionnaire in collecting data from the respondents. In collecting data, questionnaires distributed to the concerned populations that carefully was chosen by the researcher. The researcher used a case study because it is an effective way of collecting data in a short period of time. As recommended by Kothari (1985), this method is effective since it is used to narrow down a very broad field of research into one easily researchable topic. This study was undertaken into the population found in the Rubavu district but considering different categories found in the study area; this study was done at 4 twelve years' basic education located in the Rubavu district targeting 2112 respondents including parents who are involved in

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

school feeding committee, learners, and staff members (head teacher, DOS and Teachers).

Findings

This section presents the findings of the study on two specific objectives addressed. Each objective, descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation).

The effect of food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes

The respondents were asked to provide the answers to the statements given about food ratios and timing of meals, descriptive statistics of their answers is presented in the table 8 below.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics on Food ratios and timing of meals

Statements	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std
In this school students changes the type of foods	336	1.00	5.00	4.0060	1.42052
In this school foods quantity served to the students depending on classes	336	1.00	5.00	4.2173	1.17834
In this school food quantity served to Students depending on ages	336	1.00	5.00	4.2976	.98986
In this school students get satisfied with the food served to them	336	1.00	5.00	4.3661	1.12499
In this students takes lunch on constant time	336	1.00	5.00	4.0655	1.28662
In this school giving leaners sufficient food increase their academic performance	336	1.00	5.00	4.1815	1.24340
This school has enough food store	336	1.00	5.00	4.0417	1.43664
In this school students take breakfast on scheduled time	336	1.00	5.00	4.1220	1.36042
In this school parents provide school feeding contribution to supplements reserved money for the program	336	1.00	5.00	4.1429	1.32388
In this students get enough time for lunch	336	1.00	5.00	4.0744	1.32838
Overall	336			4.1515	1.269305

Source: research data

Note: Strongly Disagree = [1] = Very Low mean; Disagree= [1-2] =Low mean; Neutral= [2-3] =moderated mean; Agree= [3-4] =High mean; Strongly Agree= [4-5] = Very High mean

The results in table 8, show the opinions of respondents about different statements defining food ratios and timing of meals. These statements have effect on learning outcomes. Considering the mean from responses, it is clear that statements are in the following category: high mean. The results in all these categories show that the respondents agreed with the statements related to the food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes. Statements with very high mean are: In this school students changes the type of foods ($\mu=4.0060$ and $STD=1.42052$), In this school foods quantity served to the students depending on classes ($\mu=4.2173$ and $STD=1.17834$), In this school food quantity served to Students depending on ages ($\mu=4.2976$ and $STD=0.98986$), In this school students get satisfied with the food served to them ($\mu=4.3661$ and $STD=1.12499$), In this students takes lunch on constant time ($\mu=4.0655$ and $STD=1.28662$), In this school giving leaners sufficient food increase their academic performance ($\mu=4.1815$ and $STD=1.24340$), This school has enough food store ($\mu=4.1220$ and

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

STD=1.43664), In this school students take breakfast on scheduled time($\mu=4.1220$ and $STD=1.36042$), In this school parents provide school feeding contribution to supplements reserved money for the program($\mu=4.1429$ and $STD=1.32388$), In this students get enough time for lunch($\mu=4.0744$ and $STD=1.32838$). The overall mean indicated that majority of respondents strongly agreed that food ratios and timing of meals have significant influence on learning outcomes as indicated by ($\mu=4.1515$ and $STD=1.269305$).

The effect of quality of the food on learning outcomes: The respondents provided the answers to the statements given about quality of the food, descriptive statistics of their answers is presented in the table 9 below.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics on quality of the food

Statements	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std.
In this school has refrigerant which keeps biodegradable things	336	1.00	5.00	4.0744	1.23039
In this school foods served is really well cooked	336	1.00	5.00	4.3036	1.28253
In this students are given food which contain all different categories of vitamins	336	1.00	5.00	4.0030	1.39829
The foods served is clean and has no sand or any other rubbish	336	1.00	5.00	4.0298	1.34686
Students are served warm foods	336	1.00	5.00	3.7887	1.35161
The meals which are served is too tasty	336	1.00	5.00	4.1190	1.19356
In this schools students are given food which contains minerals	336	1.00	5.00	4.1756	1.06594
In this schools learners are given food which contains unsaturated fats	336	1.00	5.00	4.6161	.78317
In this school, students are given food which contains proteins	336	1.00	5.00	4.6815	.78972
In this students are given food which contains enough vegetables.	336	1.00	5.00	4.4077	1.08055
Overall	336			4.21994	1.152262

Source: research data

Note: Strongly Disagree = [1] = Very Low mean; Disagree= [1-2] =Low mean; Neutral= [2-3] =moderated mean; Agree= [3-4] =High mean; Strongly Agree= [4-5] = Very High mean

The results in table 9, show the opinions of respondents about different statements defining quality of food. These statements have effect on learning outcomes. Considering the mean from responses, it is clear that statements are in the following category: very high mean. The results in all these categories show that the respondents agreed with the statements related to the quality of food on learning outcomes. Statements with very high mean are:

In this school has refrigerant which keeps biodegradable things ($\mu= 4.0744$ and $STD=1.23039$), In this school foods served is really well cooked($\mu=4.3036$ and $STD=1.28253$), In this students are given food which contain all different categories of vitamins($\mu=4.0030$ and $STD=1.39829$), The foods served is clean and has no sand or any other rubbish($\mu=4.0298$ and $STD=1.34686$), Students are served warm foods($\mu=3.7887$ and $STD=1.35161$), The meals which are served is too

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

tasty($\mu=4.1190$ and $STD=1.19356$), In this schools students are given food which contains minerals($\mu=4.1756$ and $STD=1.06594$), In this schools learners are given food which contains unsaturated fats, In this school($\mu=4.6161$ and $STD=0.78317$), students are given food which contains proteins($\mu=4.6815$ and $STD=0.78972$), In this students are given food which contains enough vegetables($\mu=4.4077$ and $STD=1.08055$). The overall mean indicated that majority of respondents strongly agreed quality of the food have significant influence on learning outcomes as indicated by ($\mu=4.21994$ and $STD=1.152262$).

Learning outcomes: The respondents provided the answers to the statements given about quality of the food, descriptive statistics of their answers is presented in the table 11 below.

Table 3 : Descriptive Statistics learning outcomes

Statements	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std.
In last five years, learners behavior changed	336	1.00	5.00	4.1220	1.12767
In last five years learners motivation increase	336	1.00	5.00	4.0833	1.32672
In last five years students' academic performance increased	336	1.00	5.00	4.0149	1.44648
In last five years, learners attendance increased	336	1.00	5.00	4.1101	1.28937
In last five years, learners repetitions reduced	336	1.00	5.00	4.0327	1.35893
In last five years, learners performance in national examination increased	336	1.00	5.00	4.1429	1.22796
In last five years, school dropout reduced	336	1.00	5.00	4.3333	1.02603
In last five years, learners performance in school based exams increased	336	1.00	5.00	4.0208	1.30539
In last five years, learners dodging the school reduced	336	1.00	5.00	4.0030	1.16028
In last five years, learners scores increased	336	1.00	5.00	4.0536	1.12639
Overall	336			4.09166	1.23952

Source: research data

Note: Strongly Disagree = [1] = Very Low mean; Disagree= [1-2] =Low mean; Neutral= [2-3] =moderated mean; Agree= [3-4] =High mean; Strongly Agree= [4-5] = Very High mean

The results from table 11, indicated that the majority of respondents strongly agreed that learning outcomes was increased since the introduction of school feeding program those factors are described as follow: In last five years, learners' behavior changed($\mu=4.1220$ and $STD=1.12767$), in last five years' learners' motivation increase($\mu=4.0833$ and $STD=1.32672$), In last five years students' academic performance increased($\mu=4.0149$ and $STD=1.44648$), In last five years, learners attendance increased($\mu=4.1101$ and $STD=1.28937$), In last five years, learners repetitions reduced($\mu=4.0327$ and $STD=1.35893$), In last five years, learners performance in national examination increased($\mu=4.1429$ and $STD=1.22796$), In last five years, school dropout reduced($\mu=4.3333$ and $STD=1.02603$), In last five years, learners performance in school based exams increased($\mu=4.0208$ and $STD=1.30539$), In last five years, learners dodging the school reduced($\mu=4.0030$ and $STD=1.16028$), In last five years, learners scores increased($\mu=4.0536$ and $STD=1.12639$). The overall mean indicated that majority of respondents strongly agreed that learning

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

outcomes was increased since the introduction of school feeding program as indicated by ($\mu=4.09166$ and $STD=1.23952$).

4.3.2. Presentation of findings through inferential statistics (regression analysis)

This section presents the answers collected from the questionnaires given to the respondents. The answers were transformed into inferential statistics. This involves model summary, the analysis of variance and the regression coefficients, and they are presented in tables below:

Table 4: Model Summary for Food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.937 ^a	.879	.863	.03616

a. Predictors: (Constant), Food ratios and timing of meals

The results from table 12, indicated that Food ratios and timing of meals has effect of 87.9% of the variation in learning outcomes as explained by R^2 of 87.9% which indicated that model is good prediction.

Table 5: Analysis of variance for Food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.076	1	.076	57.848	.000 ^b
	Residual	.010	8	.001		
	Total	.086	9			

a. Dependent Variable: Learning outcomes

b. Predictors: (Constant), Food ratios and timing of meals

The results of variance analysis in table 12, indicated regression coefficient as showed that there is significance effect on Food ratios and timing of meals (P value >0.05). Conclusively there is significant influence of Food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes. Then, null hypothesis is rejected while alternative is accepted.

Table 6: Regression coefficients for Food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.822	.430		1.913	.000
	Food ratios and timing of meals	.787	.103	.937	7.606	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Learning outcomes

The results from table 14, indicated that there was a positive and significant effect of Food ratios and timing of meals on learning outcomes ($B=0.787$, P value >0.05). This explain that one unit of change in Food ratios and timing of meals increases learning outcomes by 0.787 units.

Table 7: Model summary for the quality of the food on learning outcomes

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.888 ^a	.788	.762	.04771

a. Predictors: (Constant), QUALITY

The results from table 15, indicated that quality of the food has effect of 87.9% of the variation in learning outcomes as explained by R^2 of 78.8% which indicated that model is good prediction.

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

Table 8: Analysis of variance for Food quality on learning outcomes

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	.068	1	.068	29.815	.001 ^b
	Residual	.018	8	.002		
	Total	.086	9			
a. Dependent Variable: Learning outcomes						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Quality of food						

The analysis of variance in table 16, showed that quality of food has positive and significant influence on learning outcome in secondary school in Rubavu district ($F = 29.815$ P value > 0.05). This indicated that null hypothesis is rejected and alternative one is accepted.

Table 9: Regression coefficients for Food quality on learning outcomes

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.791	.238		11.719	.000
	Quality of food	.308	.056	.888	5.460	.001
a. Dependent Variable: Learning outcomes						

The results in table 17, revealed that there was a positive and significance relationship between Food quality on learning outcomes ($\beta = 0.308$, p value < 0.05). This means that a unit of change on quality of food, increases learning outcome by 0.308 units.

Conclusion

The research concludes that food ratios influences school learning outcome such as providing enough food like quantity and giving them food on time it enhances teaching and learning process. Also by providing quality food to learners, there is improvement of learning outcomes because it contains all nutrients needed by learner to grow physically and mentally. another aspect required material led to school feeding program to function well as we have seen that once there is that program, there is reduction of drop out, increased school attendance, and increased school enrollment rate.

Recommendation

Government has to mobilize more stakeholders or non-government organization to orient their funds in the implementation of school feeding program; Parents must participate in school feeding program rather than thinking that this program should only be sponsored by government.

References

- Afrida. (2015). school feeding scheme in Africa. *international journal for education* , 23-24.
- Ahmed. (2004). food for education programme with locally produced food . *international reseach food policy institute* , 12-14.
- Bundy. (2009). *comprehensive* . chicago : USA publisher .
- Jomaa, L. H., McDonnell, E., & Probart, C. (2011). School feeding programs in developing countries: impacts on children's health and educational outcomes. *Nutrition reviews*, 69(2), 83-98.

SCHOOL FEEDING PROGRAM

- Chang. (2008). *school feeding programme in china* . beijing : beijing cooperation publisher.
- Creswell. (2003). reseach design: qualitative ,quantitative and mixed methods approaches . *international journal for research* , 12-24.
- Esmeranda. (2015). *school feeding nigeria* . lagos publisher : egtptians publisher.
- GCNF. (2019). global child nutrition forum . *journal for national comprehensive school feeding policy*, 4-6.
- Gilligan. (2008). the impact of alternative food for education programs on learning achievement and cognitive development in nothern Uganda . *international research on food policy* , 20-22.
- Gokah. (2008). *educational ledership in seconary schools* . amsterdam : holand publisher.
- Grantham. (1998). *school psychology* . bamberg : german cooperation.
- Kakooza. (2008). rearch metods . *international journal for business* , 12-14.
- Korobo. (2008). *research methodology*. chicago: USA publisher.
- Kothari. (2004). *reseach methods* . Amsterdam : adventure works press .
- Lehrer. (2008). *school psychology in learners* . Bamberg : German cooperation .
- Tomlinson. (2008). educatgional planning in secondary schools . *international journal for education* , 12-15.
- UNESCO. (2005). *food program in secondary schoola*. united kingdom : UN publisher . *Breakfast Program Demonstration Project: Central Falls, Rhode Island*.
- Cooksey, B. (2013, March). The Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme (CAADP) and agricultural policies in Tanzania: Going with or against the grain. In *Future Agricultures* (<http://www.future-agricultures.org/pp-conference-papers/the-political-economy-of-caadp-engagement/1646-caadp-and-agricultural-policies-in-tanzania-going-with-or-against-the-grain>).
- Florence, M. D., Asbridge, M., & Veugelers, P. J. (2008). Diet quality and academic performance. *Journal of school health*, 78(4), 209-215.
- Graham, H. (2002). Statewide Principals' School Garden Survey. *Sacramento: California Department of Education and Department of Nutrition, University of California at Davis*.
- omlinson, M. (2007). School feeding in east and southern Africa: Improving food sovereignty or photo opportunity. *Health Systems Research Unit, Medical Research Council. Equinet Discussion Paper*, 46.
- Wahlstrom, K. L., & Begalle, M. S. (1999). More than test scores: results of the Universal School Breakfast Pilot in Minnesota. *Topics in clinical nutrition*, 15(1), 17-29.
- World Food Programme. (2009). *Home-Grown School Feeding: A framework to link school feeding with local agricultural production*. World Food Programme.